

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTOPHER HANSON,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:15-cr-03084-MDH-01

PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE

This matter is before the Court on the Motion of the United States for a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture. (Doc. 19).

WHEREAS, on September 14, 2015, the defendant entered into a Plea Agreement with the United States in which the defendant agreed that he had obtained \$1,134,500.00 in proceeds from the offenses alleged in Count One of the Information, for which the defendant has been convicted, and

WHEREAS, the United States has filed a motion for a preliminary order of forfeiture which would consist of a personal money judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$1,134,500.00. The Court will orally announce the money judgment amount at the time of sentencing, and will include the amount in the Judgment and Commitment Order, and

WHEREAS, Rule 32.2(c)(1) provides that “no ancillary proceeding is required to the extent that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment,”

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
that the defendant shall forfeit to the United States the sum of \$1,134,500.00 pursuant to 18

U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States District Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose of enforcing this Order; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4)(a), this Order of Forfeiture shall become final as to the defendant at the time of sentencing [or before sentencing if the defendant consents], and shall be made part of the sentence and included in the judgment; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p) and Rule 32.2(b)(3) the United States may undertake whatever discovery is necessary to identify, locate, or dispose of property subject to forfeiture, or substitute assets for such property; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States may, at any time, move pursuant to Rule 32.2(e) to amend this Order of Forfeiture to substitute property having a value not to exceed \$1,134,500.00 to satisfy the money judgment in whole or in part; and

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: August 8, 2016

/s/ Douglas Harpool
DOUGLAS HARPOOL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE